

## Structural Questions (Page 1 of 1)

1. Are there any other possible candidates for Sub-Sector Agency?
2. Would the Federal government have a greater role in elections administration or reporting of results under the new system?
3. Would any greater Federal role involve a burden on stakeholders regarding time, deadlines for action, or equipment purchase decisions?
4. Would stakeholders need to attend any more meetings?
5. Would stakeholders need to designate contact persons for CI issues?
6. Would EAVS data be used for any reporting or sector plans?
7. If EAVS data were used, would modifications be made to EAVS?
8. How secure from public disclosure would any data disclosed regarding CI issues be, if not covered by PCII status?
9. Would CI status provide better access to grants for strengthening security?
10. If yes on #9, who would provide help applying for grants?
11. Would EAC be available to act as an intermediary in the events of problems with DHS?

